

HONOLULU AUTHORITY FOR RAPID TRANSPORTATION
CITY AND COUNTY OF HONOLULU

ADDENDUM NO. 1

TO THE

REQUEST FOR QUALIFICATIONS

FOR THE

HONOLULU RAIL TRANSIT PROJECT
SAFETY AND SECURITY SUPPORT SERVICES
PROFESSIONAL SERVICES CONTRACT

REQUEST FOR QUALIFICATIONS NO. RFQ-HRT-1800884

ISSUED: JULY 13, 2018

NOTICE TO ALL PROSPECTIVE OFFERORS:

This Addendum is hereby made a part of the Request for Qualifications RFQ-HRT-1800884 (RFQ) for the Honolulu Rail Transit Project (HRTTP), Safety and Security Support Services Professional Services Contract, and it shall amend the said RFQ in the following respects:

ITEM NO. 1 – RFQ Section 4.1, Solicitation Timetable

RFQ, Section 4.1, is hereby amended as follows:

ACTIVITY	DATE
Issue RFQ	June 19, 2018
Deadline for Clarification Requests	July 27, 2018
Issue Final Addendum	August 3, 2018
Statement of Qualifications Due Date	August 24, 2018 at 2:00 p.m. HST
Award of Contract (Tentative)	October 31, 2018
Notice to Proceed (Tentative)	January 2019

Any changes to the dates in the above timetable will be made by HART by written addendum.

ITEM NO. 2 – QUESTIONS AND RESPONSES

The following questions were timely received in the Transit Mailbox. The responses provided by the Honolulu Authority for Rapid Transportation (HART) are as follows and are herein incorporated as part of the RFQ:

Question #1:

Please refer to RFQ Sections 5.3, please provide clarification regarding item 5.3 requirement to provide (1) an unredacted electronic copy; and (2) a redacted electronic copy of the Submittal Materials in “pdf” searchable format. Is there a preferred method of redaction, such as blacking out the information, which HART would accept?

Response #1:

HART does not have a preferred method for redaction of information that the Offeror deemed confidential. Please see RFQ Section 4.11, Public Inspection; Segregation of Confidential Information and Hawaii Revised Statutes §92F for further guidance.

Question #2:

RFQ Section 6.1 Letter of Interest states to provide a Letter of Interest indicating the Offeror’s desire and willingness to provide design services for HART. Please clarify that this should read “Safety and Security Support Services”.

Response #2:

Thank you for the information. The first sentence of RFQ Section 6.1 is hereby deleted in its entirety and shall be replaced with the following:

“Each Offeror shall submit a Letter of Interest indicating the Offeror’s desire and willingness to provide Safety and Security Support services to HART.”

Question #3:

RFQ Section 6.2 Statement of Qualifications Paragraph #1 states the following:

“In the case of a partnership or joint venture, Offerors shall submit a Statement of Qualifications for the respective partnership or joint venture and for each firm in the partnership or joint venture.”

In the case of a joint venture, does HART require a Statement of Qualifications for the joint venture entity and also from each individual firm in the joint venture? Thus resulting in 3 Statements of Qualifications (Joint Venture Entity, Firm #1, and Firm #2, etc.).

Response #3:

Yes, HART requires the qualifications information (A-J) and submittals requested (Exhibits 6,7,8,17,18 and 26) in Section 6.2 from each entity of the joint venture.


Question #4:

General comment regarding page limits. The Offeror notes the page limit specified on Page 12 under RFQ Section 6.3.A, which states "Offerors shall briefly identify and describe the roles of each subcontractor firm proposed to work on the Contract. This section should not exceed two (2) pages." Are there any page limitations for the RFQ response? For example, are there any page limits for the Offeror's resumes for key personnel or the Offeror's project approach?

Response #4:

While no page limit is specified in the RFQ, Offerors proposals should not exceed 100 pages.

APPROVED:



for

Andrew S. Robbins
Executive Director and CEO
Honolulu Authority for Rapid Transportation